arXiv:1607.00420v1 [math.CO] 1 Jul 2016

Coloring the power graph of a semigroup

Yaroslav Shitov

National Research University Higher School of Economics, 20 Myasnitskaya Ulitsa, Moscow 101000, Russia

Abstract

Let G be a semigroup. The vertices of the power graph $\mathcal{P}(G)$ are the elements of G, and two elements are adjacent if and only if one of them is a power of the other. We show that the chromatic number of $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is at most countable, answering a recent question of Aalipour et al.

Keywords: power graph, chromatic number
2010 MSC: 05C15, 20F99

1. Introduction

This note is devoted to the graph constructed in a special way from a given semigroup G. This graph is called the *power graph* of G, denoted by $\mathcal{P}(G)$, and its vertices are the elements of G. Elements $g, h \in G$ are adjacent if and only if one of them is a power of the other, that is, if we have either $g = h^k$ or $h = g^k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. (Here an in what follows, $\mathbb{N}$ denotes the set of positive integers.) This concept has attracted some attention in both the discrete mathematics and group theory, see [2, 3].

Let us consider a related directed graph $D(G)$ on the set G. Assume $D(G)$ contains an edge leading from x to y if and only if y is a power of x. Clearly, the outdegree of any vertex of $D(G)$ is at most countable, and one gets the graph $\mathcal{P}(G)$ by forgetting about the orientations of the edges of $D(G)$. A classical result by Fodor (see [4, 5]) shows that the chromatic number of $\mathcal{P}(G)$ does not exceed any uncountable cardinal. Is this chromatic number always at most countable? This question was studied in [1] by Aalipour et al. They answer this question in the special cases which include groups

Email address: yaroslav-shitov@yandex.ru (Yaroslav Shitov)

with finite exponent, free groups, and Abelian groups. However, the general version of this problem remained open even for groups, and it was posed in [1] as Question 42. This note gives an affirmative answer to this question. More than that, we prove that the chromatic number of $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is countable even if G is an arbitrary power-associative magma. (Here, a *magma* is a set endowed with a binary operation. A magma is called *power-associative* if a sub-magma generated by a single element is associative.)

We proceed with the proof. The *order* of an element $h \in G$ is the cardinality of the subsemigroup generated by h. An element $h \in G$ is called *cyclic* if the subsemigroup generated by h is a finite group. In other words, an element h is cyclic if and only if the equality $h = h^{n+1}$ holds for some positive integer n. If h is not cyclic but has a finite order, then the *pre-period* of h is defined as the largest p such that the element h^p occurs in the sequence $h, h^2, h^3, \ldots$ exactly once.

2. Coloring the elements of finite orders

The following claim allows us to split the set of all cyclic elements into a union of countably many independent sets.

Claim 1. *Fix a number $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The subgraph of $\mathcal{P}(G)$ induced by the set of cyclic elements of order n is a union of cliques of size at most n.*

Proof. Denote this induced subgraph by P'. Let $\sim$ be the relation on P' containing those pairs (x, y) such that x is a power of y; this relation is clearly reflexive and transitive. Assuming that $x \sim y$, we get $x = y^p$, and we note that p is relatively prime to n because the orders of x, y are equal to n. So we get $pq + p'n = 1$ for some $p' \in \mathbb{Z}$, $q \in \mathbb{N}$, which shows that $y = x^q$. Therefore, $\sim$ is an equivalence relation, and every equivalence class is a subset of the set of powers of some $x \in P'$. □

As we see from the proof, the sizes of the cliques as in Claim 1 are equal to $\varphi(n)$, where φ is the Euler's totient function. This result is similar to Theorem 15 in [1]. Now we are going to prove that the set of all non-cyclic elements of finite orders can be represented as a union of countably many independent sets. We need the following observation.

Observation 2. *If $g \in G$ has a finite order n and pre-period p, then g^q is cyclic for all $q > p$.*

Proof. We have $g^{n+1} = g^{p+1}$, so that $(g^q)^{n-p+1} = g^{p+1}g^{(n-p)q}g^{q-p-1} = g^q$. □

Claim 3. *Let $g, h \in G$ be distinct elements with finite orders. If g, h have the same pre-period p, then they are non-adjacent in $\mathcal{P}(G)$.*

Proof. Assume the result is not true. Then we have $g = h^t$, for some $t > 1$. (We omit the case $h = g^t$, which is considered similarly.) Observation 2 shows that $g^p = h^{tp}$ is a cyclic element, which contradicts to the initial assumption that p is the pre-period of g. □

3. Coloring the elements of the infinite order

In the following claim, we assume $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, and we denote by $G(x, m, n)$ the set of all $y \in G$ satisfying $x^m = y^n$.

Claim 4. *Let $x \in G$ be an element of the infinite order. Then the set $G(x, m, n)$ is independent in $\mathcal{P}(G)$.*

Proof. Assume that $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $y, z \in G$ are such that $x^m = y^n$, $x^m = z^n$, $y = z^k$. Then we have $x^{mk} = z^{kn} = y^n = x^m$. Since x has the infinite order, we get $k = 1$, which implies $y = z$ and completes the proof. □

In what follows, we denote by $\pi \subset G$ the set of elements of finite orders and by $\mathcal{P}_*(G)$ the graph obtained from $\mathcal{P}(G)$ by removing the vertices in π.

Claim 5. *Let $x \in G$ be an element of the infinite order. We define the set $C(x) = \bigcup_{m,n\in\mathbb{N}} G(x, m, n)$. Then $C(x)$ is a connected component of $\mathcal{P}_*(G)$.*

Proof. If we have $x^{m_1} = g^{n_1}$, $x^{m_2} = h^{n_2}$ with $m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, then both g and h are adjacent to $x^{m_1 m_2} \in C(x)$, which shows that $C(x)$ is connected. Now assume that an element $z \in \mathcal{P}_*(G)$ is adjacent to a vertex y in $C(x)$. Then we have $x^m = y^n$ and $y^p = z^q$ with positive integers m, n, p, q (and either $p = 1$ or $q = 1$, but this fact is not relevant for our proof). We get $x^{mp} = z^{nq}$, which implies that z belongs to $C(x)$ as well. In other words, the vertices in $C(x)$ can be adjacent only to vertices in $C(x)$. □

Now we are ready to prove our main result, which states that G is a union of countably many independent subsets of $\mathcal{P}(G)$. Claim 1 shows that the subgraph of $\mathcal{P}(G)$ induced by the cyclic elements can be covered by countably many independent sets. Claim 3 proves the same result for the subgraph induced by those elements that have finite orders but are not cyclic. These

claims together allow us to cover the set π by countably many independent sets of $\mathcal{P}(G)$.

We denote by $\{C_\alpha\}$ the set of all connected components of the graph $\mathcal{P}_*(G)$, which is obtained from $\mathcal{P}(G)$ by removing the vertices in π. We choose an element x_α in every connected component C_α, and we deduce from Claim 5 that $C_\alpha = C(x_\alpha)$ for all indexes α. Claim 4 shows that every $C(x_\alpha)$ is the union of the independent sets $G(x_\alpha, m, n)$ over all pairs of positive integers (m, n). We see that $G \setminus \pi$ is the union of the independent sets $\cup_\alpha G(x_\alpha, m, n)$, which completes the proof.

References

[1] G. Aalipour, S. Akbari, P. J. Cameron, R. Nikandish, F. Shaveisi, On the structure of the power graph and the enhanced power graph of a group, preprint (2016) arXiv:1603.04337.

[2] P. J. Cameron, The power graph of a finite group, II, J. Group Theory 13 (2010) 779–783.

[3] P. J. Cameron, S. Ghosh, The power graph of a finite group, Discrete Math. 311 (2011) 1220–1222.

[4] G. Fodor, Proof of a conjecture of P. Erdős, Acta. Sci. Math. Hung. 14 (1952) 219–227.

[5] P. Komjáth, A note on uncountable chordal graphs, Discrete Math. 338 (2015) 1565–1566.